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## 2023 ETS Proficiency Profile Comparative Data Report for Unproctored Administrations

The annual Comparative Data Guide (CDG) contains tables of scaled scores and percentiles for institutional means and individual student scores drawn directly from test takers across the nation. The CDG can assist you in interpreting the scores from the E-Proficiency Profile by helping you determine how your students' skills compare with the skills of students at similar institutions. The report provides descriptive statistics based on the number of students that have completed an unproctored version of the E-Proficiency Profile between July 1, 2018 and June 30, 2023. Information about an institution gathered through E-Proficiency Profile administrations cannot be released in any form attributable to or identifiable with an individual institution. The anonymity of each institution's performance is maintained by reporting only the aggregate performance of the selected reference group.

Below are descriptions of the various tables you can generate using this service:

I Institutional Means Total Score/ Subscore Distributions - The distributions in these tables present the number of institutions at each mean score level. These tables provide a way to compare the Total Score and Subscore means for your institution with those of other participating institutions. These tables show the mean of means (or the average of the mean scores for those institutions/programs selected) as well as the standard deviations of those means.

। Individual Students Total Score/ Subscore Distributions - The distributions in these tables may be used to interpret results by determining what percent of those taking the test at the selected institutions attained scores below that of a particular student. Each table shows scaled score intervals for Total Score and Subscores separately. By looking up the Total Score or Subscore and reading across the row to the corresponding number in the column headed "Percent Below," the percent of individuals scoring below any interval can be determined.

। Summary of Proficiency Classifications - This table presents the percentage of students classified as "Proficient", "Marginal", and "Not Proficient" for each skill dimension and level. This table provides a way to compare the proficiency levels at your institution with the selected test taker population. Descriptions of the competencies and abilities measured at each Proficiency Level can be found at https://success.territorium.com/e-proficiency-profile-performance-levels.

The following considerations should be kept in mind when interpreting comparative data:
I This data should be considered comparative rather than normative because the institutions included in the data do not represent proportionally the various types of higher education institutions and programs. The data are drawn entirely from institutions that choose to use the E-Proficiency Profile. Such a self-selected sample may not be representative of all institutions or programs.

। The number of students tested and sampling procedures vary from one institution to another. Therefore, it is impossible to verify that the students tested at each institution are representative of all the institution's students in that program.

। Only those institutions testing 30 or more students in a college class were included in the analyses for that college class. Institutions with fewer than 30 test takers at that class level are excluded from these calculations.

I In certain circumstances, the score distribution used to compute these statistics will be modified to prevent the statistics from being dominated by a few very large institutions. If an institution contributes a large number of students to a data set, the score of each of its students will be weighted. If weighting is applied to the report, a footnote explaining the weighting process will appear below the table. Weighting is only applied to reports based on individual student results.

I For more information about this report or other ways the E-Proficiency Profile can help your program, contact Territorium https://success.territorium.com/kb-tickets/new

The following reports include tests taken as of June 30, 2023.

## 2023 Comparative Data Guide <br> I nstitution List <br> All Institution Types <br> All Students

Data includes students from domestic institutions who tested between July 2018 through June 2023

Albertus Magnus College, CT
American Public University, WV
American Sentinel University, CO
Appalachian State University, NC
Aquinas College (MI), MI
Asbury University, KY
Ascent College, VA
Ashland University, OH
Baptist College of Florida, The, FL
Belhaven University (MS), MS
Bethel University, TN
Blue Mountain College, MS
Brenau University, GA
Brewton-Parker College, GA
BridgeValley Community and Technical College, WV
Cabarrus College of Health Sciences, NC
Calhoun Community College, AL
Calvary Bible College, MO
Campbell University, NC
Cecil College, MD
Central Arizona College, AZ
Central Virginia Community College, VA
Chattahoochee Technical College, GA
Clayton State University, GA
Cleveland State Community College, TN
College of the Ozarks, MO
Colorado State University- Global Campus, CO
Columbia State Community College, TN
Columbus State University, GA
Covenant College, GA
Crowder College, MO
Crowley's Ridge College, AR
Dallas Baptist University, TX
DeVry University, IL
Dyersburg State Community College, TN
ECPI University, NC
Elim Bible Institute and College, NY
Ensign College, UT
Erskine College, SC
Everglades University, FL
Faith Baptist Bible College \& Theological Seminary, IA
Fayetteville State University, NC
Felician University - Lodi, NJ
Fisk University, TN
Florida Agricultural and Mechanical University, FL

Florida State College at Jacksonville, FL Gadsden State Community College, AL Geneva College, PA
God's Bible School and College, OH
Grambling State University, LA
Howard Payne University, TX
Jackson State Community College, TN
Jacksonville College, TX
Jacksonville State University, AL
J efferson College, MO
J efferson Community and Technical College, KY
Keiser University, FL
Kennesaw State University, GA
Kentucky State University, KY
Lamar State College - Orange, TX
Lander University, SC
Lee University, TN
Limestone College, SC
Lincoln Memorial University, TN
Louisiana State University - Alexandria, LA
Luther Rice College \& Seminary, GA
Miami Regional University, FL
Midland University, NE
Midwestern Baptist Theological Seminary, MO
Mississippi State University, MS
Mississippi Valley State University, MS
Moberly Area Community College, MO
Motlow State Community College, TN
Mount Vernon Nazarene University, OH
National University, CA
New Mexico Junior College, NM
New Mexico Military Institute, NM
New River Community College, VA
North Dakota State College of Science, ND
Northeastern Oklahoma A\&M College, OK
Pacific Union College, CA
Parker University, TX
Patrick Henry College, VA
Pellissippi State Community College, TN
Pfeiffer University, NC
Philander Smith College, AR
Point Loma Nazarene University, CA
Point University, GA
Presentation College, SD
Providence Christian College, CA

Providence College, RI
Regent University, VA
Reinhardt University, GA
River Parishes Community College, LA
Roane State Community College, TN
Rocky Mountain College, MT
Saint J osephs University, PA
Skagit Valley College, WA
Slippery Rock University of PA, PA
South College-Main, TN
Southeastern Oklahoma State University, OK
Southeastern University, FL
Southwest Baptist University, MO
Southwest Tennessee Community College, TN
Spelman College, GA
St. Johns River State College, FL
St. Petersburg College, FL
Sterling College, KS
Sullivan University, KY
Texas Southmost College, TX
Texas Tech University, TX
Texas Tech University Health Sciences Center, TX
Thomas Edison State University, NJ

Thomas University, GA
Touro College (CA), CA
Trinity Valley Community College, TX
Troy University - Troy, AL
University of Arizona Global Campus, AZ
University of Arkansas - Pine Bluff, AR
University of Colorado at Colorado Springs, CO
University of Georgia, GA
University of Mary, ND
University of Memphis, TN
University of North Texas - Denton, TX
University of South Florida - Sarasota-Manatee, FL
University of Tampa, FL
University of Tennessee - Chattanooga, TN
University of the Cumberlands, KY
Vanguard University of Southern California, CA
Volunteer State Community College, TN
Washburn University, KS
Wayland Baptist University, TX
Western Oklahoma State College, OK
Western Wyoming Community College, WY
Wiley College, TX
Wilmington University, DE

| Total Number of <br> Institutions | Total Number of <br> Students |
| :---: | :---: |
| 136 | 207,003 |

Only those institutions testing 30 or more students in a college class were included in the analyses for that college class.

# 2023 Comparative Data Guide Distribution of Institutional Mean Total Scores <br> All Institution Types <br> All Students 

July 2018 through J une 2023

| Number of <br> Institutions | Mean | Standard <br> Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 136 | 436.1 | $\mathbf{8 . 4}$ |


| Mean Total Score | No. of Institutions | Percent Below |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 470 to 500.00 | 0 | 100 |
| 469 to 469.99 | 1 | 99 |
| 468 to 468.99 | 0 | 99 |
| 467 to 467.99 | 0 | 99 |
| 466 to 466.99 | 0 | 99 |
| 465 to 465.99 | 0 | 99 |
| 464 to 464.99 | 0 | 99 |
| 463 to 463.99 | 0 | 99 |
| 462 to 462.99 | 0 | 99 |
| 461 to 461.99 | 1 | 99 |
| 460 to 460.99 | 0 | 99 |
| 459 to 459.99 | 0 | 99 |
| 458 to 458.99 | 0 | 99 |
| 457 to 457.99 | 0 | 99 |
| 456 to 456.99 | 0 | 99 |
| 455 to 455.99 | 0 | 99 |
| 454 to 454.99 | 2 | 97 |
| 453 to 453.99 | 1 | 96 |
| 452 to 452.99 | 1 | 96 |
| 451 to 451.99 | 0 | 96 |
| 450 to 450.99 | 1 | 95 |
| 449 to 449.99 | 2 | 93 |
| 448 to 448.99 | 0 | 93 |
| 447 to 447.99 | 1 | 93 |


| Mean Total <br> Score | No. of <br> Institutions | Percent <br> Below |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 446 to 446.99 | 4 | 90 |
| 445 to 445.99 | 2 | 88 |
| 444 to 444.99 | 4 | 85 |
| 443 to 443.99 | 3 | 83 |
| 442 to 442.99 | 5 | 79 |
| 441 to 441.99 | 6 | 76 |
| 440 to 440.99 | 6 | 71 |
| 439 to 439.99 | 8 | 67 |
| 438 to 438.99 | 9 | 63 |
| 437 to 437.99 | 6 | 56 |
| 436 to 436.99 | 4 | 50 |
| 435 to 435.99 | 5 | 44 |
| 434 to 434.99 | 7 | 38 |
| 433 to 433.99 | 5 | 33 |
| 432 to 432.99 | 2 | 26 |
| 431 to 431.99 | 3 | 21 |
| 430 to 430.99 | 2 | 18 |
| 429 to 429.99 | 6 | 16 |
| 428 to 428.99 | 11 | 14 |
| 427 to 427.99 | 8 | 13 |
| 426 to 426.99 | 8 | 0 |
| 425 to 425.99 | 6 |  |
| 400 to 424.99 | 6 |  |

## 2023 Comparative Data Guide <br> Distribution of I nstitutional Mean Subscores <br> All I nstitution Types <br> All Students

July 2018 through J une 2023

| Skill | Number of <br> Institutions | Mean | Standard <br> Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Thinking | 136 | 109.8 | 2.1 |
| Reading | 136 | 115.1 | 2.6 |
| Writing | 136 | 112.4 | 1.9 |
| Mathematics | 136 | 111.8 | 2.2 |
| Humanities | 136 | 113.8 | 2.1 |
| Social Sciences | 136 | 111.8 | 2.1 |
| Natural Sciences | 136 | 113.4 | 2.0 |

## Critical Thinking

| Mean <br> Subscore | No. of <br> Institutions | Percent <br> Below |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 126 to 130 | 0 | 100 |
| 125 to 125.99 | 0 | 100 |
| 124 to 124.99 | 0 | 100 |
| 123 to 123.99 | 0 | 100 |
| 122 to 122.99 | 0 | 100 |
| 121 to 121.99 | 0 | 100 |
| 120 to 120.99 | 0 | 100 |
| 119 to 119.99 | 1 | 99 |
| 118 to 118.99 | 0 | 99 |
| 117 to 117.99 | 0 | 99 |
| 116 to 116.99 | 0 | 99 |
| 115 to 115.99 | 1 | 99 |
| 114 to 114.99 | 3 | 96 |
| 113 to 113.99 | 2 | 95 |
| 112 to 112.99 | 9 | 88 |
| 111 to 111.99 | 18 | 75 |
| 110 to 110.99 | 26 | 56 |
| 109 to 109.99 | 32 | 32 |
| 108 to 108.99 | 20 | 18 |
| 107 to 107.99 | 13 | 8 |
| 106 to 106.99 | 9 | 1 |
| 100 to 105.99 | 2 | 0 |

## Reading

| Mean Subscore | No. of <br> Institutions | Percent <br> Below |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 126 to 130 | 0 | 100 |
| 125 to 125.99 | 0 | 100 |
| 124 to 124.99 | 1 | 99 |
| 123 to 123.99 | 0 | 99 |
| 122 to 122.99 | 0 | 99 |
| 121 to 121.99 | 2 | 98 |
| 120 to 120.99 | 0 | 98 |
| 119 to 119.99 | 5 | 94 |
| 118 to 118.99 | 8 | 88 |
| 117 to 117.99 | 13 | 79 |
| 116 to 116.99 | 21 | 63 |
| 115 to 115.99 | 22 | 47 |
| 114 to 114.99 | 24 | 29 |
| 113 to 113.99 | 14 | 19 |
| 112 to 112.99 | 8 | 13 |
| 111 to 111.99 | 9 | 7 |
| 110 to 110.99 | 4 | 4 |
| 109 to 109.99 | 4 | 1 |
| 108 to 108.99 | 1 | 0 |
| 107 to 107.99 | 0 | 0 |
| 106 to 106.99 | 0 | 0 |
| 100 to 105.99 | 0 | 0 |

## Writing

| Mean <br> Subscore | No. of <br> Institutions | Percent <br> Below |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 126 to 130 | 0 | 100 |
| 125 to 125.99 | 0 | 100 |
| 124 to 124.99 | 0 | 100 |
| 123 to 123.99 | 0 | 100 |
| 122 to 122.99 | 0 | 100 |
| 121 to 121.99 | 0 | 100 |
| 120 to 120.99 | 0 | 100 |
| 119 to 119.99 | 0 | 100 |
| 118 to 118.99 | 2 | 99 |
| 117 to 117.99 | 0 | 99 |
| 116 to 116.99 | 2 | 97 |
| 115 to 115.99 | 4 | 94 |
| 114 to 114.99 | 16 | 82 |
| 113 to 113.99 | 28 | 62 |
| 112 to 112.99 | 32 | 38 |
| 111 to 111.99 | 24 | 21 |
| 110 to 110.99 | 13 | 11 |
| 109 to 109.99 | 10 | 4 |
| 108 to 108.99 | 3 | 1 |
| 107 to 107.99 | 2 | 0 |
| 106 to 106.99 | 0 | 0 |
| 100 to 105.99 | 0 | 0 |

## Humanities

| Mean Subscore | No. of <br> Institutions | Percent <br> Below |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 126 to 130 | 0 | 100 |
| 125 to 125.99 | 0 | 100 |
| 124 to 124.99 | 0 | 100 |
| 123 to 123.99 | 0 | 100 |
| 122 to 122.99 | 1 | 99 |
| 121 to 121.99 | 0 | 99 |
| 120 to 120.99 | 0 | 99 |
| 119 to 119.99 | 0 | 99 |
| 118 to 118.99 | 1 | 99 |
| 117 to 117.99 | 5 | 95 |
| 116 to 116.99 | 25 | 88 |
| 115 to 115.99 | 23 | 70 |
| 114 to 114.99 | 28 | 53 |
| 113 to 113.99 | 21 | 32 |
| 112 to 112.99 | 13 | 17 |
| 111 to 111.99 | 2 | 7 |
| 110 to 110.99 | 5 | 6 |
| 109 to 109.99 | 2 | 2 |
| 108 to 108.99 | 1 | 1 |
| 107 to 107.99 | 0 | 0 |
| 106 to 106.99 | 0 | 0 |
| 100 to 105.99 |  | 0 |

Mathematics

| Mean Subscore | No. of <br> Institutions | Percent <br> Below |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 126 to 130 | 0 | 100 |
| 125 to 125.99 | 0 | 100 |
| 124 to 124.99 | 0 | 100 |
| 123 to 123.99 | 0 | 100 |
| 122 to 122.99 | 0 | 100 |
| 121 to 121.99 | 0 | 100 |
| 120 to 120.99 | 0 | 100 |
| 119 to 119.99 | 0 | 100 |
| 118 to 118.99 | 2 | 99 |
| 117 to 117.99 | 3 | 96 |
| 116 to 116.99 | 3 | 94 |
| 115 to 115.99 | 3 | 92 |
| 114 to 114.99 | 7 | 87 |
| 113 to 113.99 | 14 | 76 |
| 112 to 112.99 | 23 | 60 |
| 111 to 111.99 | 35 | 34 |
| 110 to 110.99 | 22 | 18 |
| 109 to 109.99 | 13 | 8 |
| 108 to 108.99 | 6 | 4 |
| 107 to 107.99 | 4 | 1 |
| 106 to 106.99 | 1 | 0 |
| 100 to 105.99 | 0 | 0 |

## Social Sciences

| Mean Subscore | No. of <br> Institutions | Percent <br> Below |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 126 to 130 | 0 | 100 |
| 125 to 125.99 | 0 | 100 |
| 124 to 124.99 | 0 | 100 |
| 123 to 123.99 | 0 | 100 |
| 122 to 122.99 | 0 | 100 |
| 121 to 121.99 | 0 | 100 |
| 120 to 120.99 | 1 | 99 |
| 119 to 119.99 | 0 | 99 |
| 118 to 118.99 | 0 | 99 |
| 117 to 117.99 | 0 | 99 |
| 116 to 116.99 | 4 | 96 |
| 115 to 115.99 | 2 | 95 |
| 114 to 114.99 | 8 | 89 |
| 113 to 113.99 | 24 | 71 |
| 112 to 112.99 | 23 | 54 |
| 111 to 111.99 | 31 | 32 |
| 110 to 110.99 | 19 | 18 |
| 109 to 109.99 | 12 | 9 |
| 108 to 108.99 | 6 | 4 |
| 107 to 107.99 | 6 | 0 |
| 106 to 106.99 | 0 | 0 |
| 100 to 105.99 | 0 | 0 |

Natural Sciences

| Mean <br> Subscore | No. of <br> Institutions | Percent <br> Below |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 126 to 130 | 0 | 100 |
| 125 to 125.99 | 0 | 100 |
| 124 to 124.99 | 0 | 100 |
| 123 to 123.99 | 0 | 100 |
| 122 to 122.99 | 0 | 100 |
| 121 to 121.99 | 0 | 100 |
| 120 to 120.99 | 1 | 99 |
| 119 to 119.99 | 0 | 99 |
| 118 to 118.99 | 2 | 98 |
| 117 to 117.99 | 4 | 95 |
| 116 to 116.99 | 26 | 93 |
| 115 to 115.99 | 26 | 82 |
| 114 to 114.99 | 27 | 63 |
| 113 to 113.99 | 18 | 24 |
| 112 to 112.99 | 6 | 10 |
| 111 to 111.99 | 7 | 6 |
| 110 to 110.99 | 1 | 1 |
| 109 to 109.99 | 0 | 0 |
| 108 to 108.99 | 0 | 0 |
| 107 to 107.99 | 0 | 0 |
| 106 to 106.99 |  | 0 |
| 100 to 105.99 |  |  |

# 2023 Comparative Data Guide <br> Distribution of I ndividual Students' Total Scores <br> All I nstitution Types <br> All Students 

July 2018 through J une 2023

| Number of <br> Students | Mean | Standard <br> Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $131,427^{*}$ | 435.8 | 19.8 |


| Percentile | Scaled Score |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{9 0}^{\text {th }}$ | 464 |
| $\mathbf{7 5}^{\text {th }}$ | 447 |
| $\mathbf{5 0}^{\text {th }}$ | 433 |
| $\mathbf{2 5}^{\text {th }}$ | 421 |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ | 413 |


| Scaled <br> Score | Percent <br> Below |
| :---: | :---: |
| 500 | $>99$ |
| 499 | $>99$ |
| 498 | $>99$ |
| 497 | $>99$ |
| 496 | $>99$ |
| 495 | $>99$ |
| 494 | $>99$ |
| 493 | 99 |
| 492 | 99 |
| 491 | 99 |
| 490 | 99 |
| 489 | 99 |
| 488 | 99 |
| 487 | 99 |
| 486 | 98 |
| 485 | 98 |
| 484 | 98 |
| 483 | 97 |
| 482 | 97 |
| 481 | 97 |
| 480 | 97 |
| 479 | 96 |
| 478 | 96 |
| 477 | 96 |
| 476 | 95 |
|  |  |


| Scaled Score | Percent Below |
| :---: | :---: |
| 475 | 95 |
| 474 | 95 |
| 473 | 94 |
| 472 | 94 |
| 471 | 94 |
| 470 | 93 |
| 469 | 92 |
| 468 | 92 |
| 467 | 91 |
| 466 | 91 |
| 465 | 91 |
| 464 | 90 |
| 463 | 89 |
| 462 | 88 |
| 461 | 88 |
| 460 | 88 |
| 459 | 86 |
| 458 | 85 |
| 457 | 85 |
| 456 | 85 |
| 455 | 81 |
| 454 | 81 |
| 453 | 81 |
| 452 | 81 |
| 451 | 77 |


| Scaled <br> Score | Percent <br> Below |
| :---: | :---: |
| 450 | 77 |
| 449 | 77 |
| 448 | 75 |
| 447 | 73 |
| 446 | 72 |
| 445 | 72 |
| 444 | 68 |
| 443 | 68 |
| 442 | 67 |
| 441 | 64 |
| 440 | 62 |
| 439 | 62 |
| 438 | 60 |
| 437 | 57 |
| 436 | 55 |
| 435 | 54 |
| 434 | 51 |
| 433 | 49 |
| 432 | 48 |
| 431 | 43 |
| 430 | 43 |
| 429 | 41 |
| 428 | 39 |
| 427 | 35 |
| 426 | 35 |


| Scaled <br> Score | Percent <br> Below |
| :---: | :---: |
| 425 | 32 |
| 424 | 30 |
| 423 | 30 |
| 422 | 27 |
| 421 | 25 |
| 420 | 23 |
| 419 | 20 |
| 418 | 20 |
| 417 | 19 |
| 416 | 13 |
| 415 | 12 |
| 414 | 10 |
| 413 | 9 |
| 412 | 9 |
| 411 | 6 |
| 410 | 6 |
| 409 | 5 |
| 408 | 4 |
| 407 | 3 |
| 406 | 2 |
| 405 | 2 |
| 404 | 2 |
| 403 | 1 |
| 402 | 1 |
| 401 | 1 |
| 400 | 0 |
|  |  |

*The score distribution used to compute these statistics has been modified, to prevent the statistics from being dominated by a few very large institutions. If an institution contributed more than 4600 students to this data set, the score of each of its students has been weighted by the fraction $4600 / \mathrm{n}$, where n is the number of students from that institution. For example, if an institution tested 9200 students, the score of each of its students would receive a weight of $4600 / 9200=1 / 2$. In computing the statistics, each of its students would count only half as much as a student from an institution that tested 4600 or fewer students. Therefore, an institution testing 9200 students would influence the statistics just as much as if it had tested only 4600 students.

## 2023 Comparative Data Guide Distribution of Individual Students' Subscores <br> All Institution Types <br> All Students <br> July 2018 through J une 2023

|  | Critical <br> Thinking | Reading | Writing | Mathematics | Humanities | Social <br> Scieneces | Natural <br> Sciences |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of <br> Students | $131,427^{*}$ | $131,427^{*}$ | $131,427^{*}$ | $131,427^{*}$ | $131,427^{*}$ | $131,427^{*}$ | $131,427^{*}$ |
| Mean Score | 109.6 | 115.2 | 112.5 | 111.6 | 113.8 | 111.8 | 113.4 |
| Standard <br> Deviation | 6.3 | 7.5 | 5.4 | 5.8 | 6.6 | 6.3 | 6.2 |
| Percentile | Critical <br> Thinking | Reading | Writing | Mathematics | Humanities | Social <br> Scieneces | Natural <br> Sciences |
| 90 th $_{75^{\text {th }}}$ | 120 | 114 | 126 | 120 | 120 | 124 | 121 |
| 122 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${50^{\text {th }}}^{25^{\text {th }}}$ | 109 | 115 | 113 | 110 | 112 | 117 | 118 |
| $\mathbf{1 0}^{\text {th }}$ | 102 | 106 | 105 | 105 | 106 | 10 | 113 |

Skills Subscores: Percent of Students Below Each Scaled Score

| Scaled Score | Critical Thinking | Reading | Writing | Mathematics |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 130 | >99 | 97 | >99 | >99 |
| 129 | >99 | 97 | >99 | >99 |
| 128 | >99 | 96 | >99 | >99 |
| 127 | 99 | 91 | >99 | 98 |
| 126 | 99 | 89 | >99 | 98 |
| 125 | 99 | 89 | 99 | 97 |
| 124 | 97 | 83 | 99 | 96 |
| 123 | 95 | 80 | 96 | 92 |
| 122 | 95 | 75 | 96 | 92 |
| 121 | 92 | 71 | 92 | 92 |
| 120 | 89 | 67 | 88 | 86 |
| 119 | 89 | 66 | 84 | 86 |
| 118 | 86 | 58 | 84 | 85 |
| 117 | 86 | 57 | 78 | 80 |
| 116 | 82 | 57 | 67 | 76 |
| 115 | 79 | 47 | 66 | 71 |
| 114 | 75 | 42 | 59 | 70 |
| 113 | 74 | 41 | 48 | 64 |
| 112 | 65 | 41 | 47 | 53 |
| 111 | 60 | 31 | 36 | 52 |
| 110 | 59 | 23 | 28 | 45 |
| 109 | 48 | 22 | 27 | 34 |
| 108 | 47 | 21 | 16 | 31 |
| 107 | 35 | 10 | 15 | 15 |
| 106 | 29 | 10 | 11 | 11 |
| 105 | 24 | 8 | 8 | 6 |
| 104 | 15 | 4 | 5 | 4 |
| 103 | 13 | 2 | 3 | 2 |
| 102 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| 101 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| Scaled Score | Humanities | SocialSciences | Natural Sciences |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 130 | >99 | >99 | >99 |
| 129 | 99 | >99 | >99 |
| 128 | 97 | >99 | >99 |
| 127 | 97 | 99 | >99 |
| 126 | 95 | 97 | 96 |
| 125 | 93 | 96 | 96 |
| 124 | 90 | 96 | 93 |
| 123 | 86 | 94 | 92 |
| 122 | 86 | 90 | 89 |
| 121 | 81 | 87 | 84 |
| 120 | 77 | 87 | 80 |
| 119 | 76 | 83 | 75 |
| 118 | 70 | 82 | 75 |
| 117 | 64 | 72 | 68 |
| 116 | 59 | 70 | 68 |
| 115 | 58 | 65 | 56 |
| 114 | 56 | 64 | 55 |
| 113 | 51 | 64 | 50 |
| 112 | 36 | 48 | 47 |
| 111 | 35 | 48 | 32 |
| 110 | 34 | 40 | 25 |
| 109 | 29 | 40 | 24 |
| 108 | 21 | 38 | 23 |
| 107 | 15 | 20 | 12 |
| 106 | 6 | 14 | 7 |
| 105 | 5 | 12 | 7 |
| 104 | 3 | 9 | 6 |
| 103 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 102 | <1 | 1 | 2 |
| 101 | <1 | <1 | 2 |
| 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

*The score distribution used to compute these statistics has been modified, to prevent the statistics from being dominated by a few very large institutions. If an institution contributed more than 4600 students to this data set, the score of each of its students has been weighted by the fraction $4600 / \mathrm{n}$, where n is the number of students from that institution. For example, if an institution tested 9200 students, the score of each of its students would receive a weight of $4600 / 9200=1 / 2$. In computing the statistics, each of its students would count only half as much as a student from an institution that tested 4600 or fewer students. Therefore, an institution testing 9200 students would influence the statistics just as much as if it had tested only 4600 students.

# 2023 Comparative Data Guide Summary of Proficiency Classifications - All Students, All Institution Types 

July 2018 through June 2023

| Total Number of Students | Weighted Number of Students |
| :---: | :---: |
| 207,003 | $131,427 *$ |

Percent of Students Classified

| Skill Dimension and Level | Classified as <br> Proficient | Classified as <br> Marginal | Classified as Non- <br> Proficient |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Thinking | $\mathbf{3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{8 \%}$ | $\mathbf{8 9 \%}$ |
| Reading, Level 2 | $\mathbf{2 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{6 6 \%}$ |
| Reading, Level 1 | $\mathbf{4 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 9 \%}$ |
| Writing, Level 3 | $\mathbf{4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{8 0 \%}$ |
| Writing, Level 2 | $\mathbf{1 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{6 4 \%}$ |
| Writing, Level 1 | $\mathbf{4 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 \%}$ |
| Mathematics, Level 3 | $\mathbf{4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{8 5 \%}$ |
| Mathematics, Level 2 | $\mathbf{1 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{6 2 \%}$ |
| Mathematics, Level 1 | $\mathbf{3 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 1 \%}$ |

[^0]
## 2023 Comparative Data Guide

Demographic Summary
All Institution Types
All Students
July 2018 through J une 2023

Percent in Demographic Category

| Age | Unweighted Data | Weighted Data* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under 20 | $12 \%$ | $19 \%$ |
| 20 to 29 | $38 \%$ | $54 \%$ |
| 30 to 39 | $11 \%$ | $15 \%$ |
| 40 to 49 | $5 \%$ | $7 \%$ |
| 50 to 59 | $34 \%$ | $6 \%$ |
| 60 or more | $<1 \%$ | $<1 \%$ |


| Gender | Unweighted Data | Weighted Data* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | $\mathbf{6 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 \%}$ |
| Female | $\mathbf{4 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{6 0 \%}$ |


| Ethnicity | Unweighted Data | Weighted Data* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| African American | $\mathbf{1 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 \%}$ |
| American Indian/ Alaskan <br> Native | $\mathbf{1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 \%}$ |
| Asian/ Asian <br> American/ Pacific I s. | $\mathbf{3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 \%}$ |
| Black Hispanic | $\mathbf{1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 \%}$ |
| Hispanic | $\mathbf{3 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 \%}$ |
| Latin American | $\mathbf{1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 \%}$ |
| White | $\mathbf{4 2 \%}$ | $59 \%$ |
| Other | $\mathbf{4 \%}$ | $5 \%$ |


| Best Language | Unweighted Data | Weighted Data* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| English | $\mathbf{5 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 7 \%}$ |
| Other Language | $\mathbf{1 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 \%}$ |
| Both Equal | $\mathbf{3 9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{8 \%}$ |


| Enrollment Status | Unweighted Data | Weighted Data* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Full Time | $\mathbf{8 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{8 1 \%}$ |
| Part Time | $\mathbf{2 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 \%}$ |


| Credit Hours Transferred | Unweighted Data | Weighted Data* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| None | $\mathbf{4 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{6 0 \%}$ |
| $\mathbf{0 - 1 5}$ Hours Transferred | $5 \%$ | $\mathbf{8 \%}$ |
| $16-30$ Hours Transferred | $\mathbf{3 8 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 \%}$ |
| $>30$ Hours Transferred | $\mathbf{1 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 \%}$ |


| Hours Worked for Wages | Unweighted Data | Weighted Data* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| None | $\mathbf{1 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 \%}$ |
| $\mathbf{1 - 1 5}$ Hours | $\mathbf{1 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 \%}$ |
| $16-30$ Hours | $\mathbf{1 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 \%}$ |
| $>30$ Hours | $\mathbf{6 3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 1 \%}$ |


| Cumulative GPA | Unweighted Data | Weighted Data* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $3.50-4.00$ | $29 \%$ | $42 \%$ |
| $3.00-3.49$ | $57 \%$ | $37 \%$ |
| $2.50-2.99$ | $10 \%$ | $15 \%$ |
| $2.00-2.49$ | $3 \%$ | $5 \%$ |
| $1.00-1.99$ | $<1 \%$ | $<1 \%$ |
| Less than 1.00 | $<1 \%$ | $<1 \%$ |

*The score distribution used to compute these statistics has been modified, to prevent the statistics from being dominated by a few very large institutions. If an institution contributed more than 4600 students to this data set, the score of each of its students has been weighted by the fraction $4600 / \mathrm{n}$, where n is the number of students from that institution. For example, if an institution tested 9200 students, the score of each of its students would receive a weight of $4600 / 9200=1 / 2$. In computing the statistics, each of its students would count only half as much as a student from an institution that tested 4600 or fewer students. Therefore, an institution testing 9200 students would influence the statistics just as much as if it had tested only 4600 students.


[^0]:    *The score distribution used to compute these statistics has been modified, to prevent the statistics from being dominated by a few very large institutions. If an institution contributed more than 4600 students to this data set, the score of each of its students has been weighted by the fraction $4600 / \mathrm{n}$, where n is the number of students from that institution. For example, if an institution tested 9200 students, the score of each of its students would receive a weight of $4600 / 9200=1 / 2$. In computing the statistics, each of its students would count only half as much as a student from an institution that tested 4600 or fewer students. Therefore, an institution testing 9200 students would influence the statistics just as much as if it had tested only 4600 students.

