## 2022 E-Proficiency Profile Comparative Data Report for Proctored Administrations

The annual Comparative Data Guide (CDG) contains tables of scaled scores and percentiles for institutional means and individual studentscores drawn directly from test takers across the nation. The CDG can assist you in interpreting the scores from the E-Proficiency Profile by helping you determine how your students' skills compare with the skills of students at similar institutions. The report provides descriptive statistics based on the number of students that have completed a proctored version of the E-Proficiency Profile between July 1, 2017 and June 30, 2022. Information about an institution gathered through E-Proficiency Profile administrations cannot be released in any form attributable to or identifiable with an individual institution. The anonymity of each institution's performance is maintained by reporting only the aggregate performance of the selected reference group.

Below are descriptions of the various tables you can generate using this service:

- Institutional Means Total Score/Subscore Distributions - The distributions in these tables present the number of institutions at each mean score level. These tables provide a way to compare the Total Score and Subscore means for your institution with those ofother participating institutions. These tables show the mean of means (or the average of the mean scores for those institutions/programs selected) as well as the standard deviations of those means.
- Individual Students Total Score/Subscore Distributions - The distributions in these tables may be used to interpret results by determining what percent of those taking the test at the selected institutions attained scores below that of a particular student. Each table shows scaled score intervals for Total Score and Subscores separately. By looking up the Total Score or Subscore and reading across the row to the corresponding number in the column headed "Percent Below," the percent of individuals scoring below any interval can be determined.
- Summary of Proficiency Classifications - This table presents the percentage of students classified as "Proficient", "Marginal", and "Not Proficient" for each skill dimension and level. This table provides a way to compare the proficiency levels at your institution with the selected test taker population. Descriptions of the competencies and abilities measured at each Proficiency Level can be found at https://success.territorium.com/e-proficiency-profile-performance-levels.

The following considerations should be kept in mind when interpreting comparative data:

- This data should be considered comparative rather than normative because the institutions included in the data do not represent proportionally the various types of higher education institutions and programs. The data are drawn entirely from institutions that choose to use the E-Proficiency Profile. Such a self-selected sample may not be representative of all institutions or programs.
- The number of students tested and sampling procedures vary from one institution to another. Therefore, it is impossible to verify thatthe students tested at each institution are representative of all the institution's students in that program.
- Only those institutions testing 30 or more students in a college class were included in the analyses for that college class. Institutions with fewer than 30 test takers at that class level are excluded from these calculations.
- In certain circumstances, the score distribution used to compute these statistics will be modified to prevent the statistics from being dominated by a few very large institutions. If an institution contributes a large number of students to a data set, the score of each ofits students will be weighted. If weighting is applied to the report, a footnote explaining the weighting process will appear below the table. Weighting is only applied to reports based on individual student results.
- For more information about this report or other ways the E-Proficiency Profile can help your program, contact Territorium https://success.territorium.com/kb-tickets/new

The following reports include tests taken as of June 30, 2022.

# 2022 Comparative Data Guide Institution List <br> All Institution Types All Students <br> Proctored <br> Administration <br> Data includes students from domestic institutions who tested between July 2017 through June 2022 

Alabama A\&M University, AL
Albany College of Pharmacy and Health Sciences, NY
Albertus Magnus College, CT
Alice Lloyd College, KY
American Public University, WV
Anderson University - South Carolina, SC
Andrews University, MI
Appalachian Bible College, WV
Arkansas Baptist College, AR
Arkansas Northeastern College, AR
Asbury University, KY
Barton College, NC
Belhaven University (MS), MS
Bennett College for Women, NC
Bethel College, IN
Bethel University, TN
Bishop State Community College, AL
Blinn College, TX
Blue Mountain College, MS
Bluffton University, OH
Bossier Parish Community College, LA
Brenau University, GA
Brescia University, KY
Bryan College, TN
Cabrini University, PA
Cairn University, PA
Calhoun Community College, AL
Calvary Bible College, MO
Cecil College, MD
Central Wyoming College, WY
Chattahoochee Technical College, GA
Chipola College, FL
Chowan University, NC
Clayton State University, GA
Clemson University, SC
Cleveland State Community College, TN
College of Charleston, SC
College of New Jersey, The, NJ
College of the Mainland, TX
Colorado State University - Pueblo, CO
Columbia State Community College, TN
Concordia University (MI), MI
Concordia University Chicago, IL
Corban University, OR
Covenant College, GA
BA

Alabama A\&M University, AL

Albertus Magnus College, CT
Alice Lloyd College, KY
American Public University, WV
Anderson University - South Carolina, SC

Appalachian Bible College, WV
Arkansas Baptist College, AR
Arkansas Northeastern College, AR
Asbury University, KY
Belhaven University (MS), MS
Bennett College for Women, NC
Bethel College, IN
Bethel University, TN

Blinn College, TX
Blue Mountain College, MS
Bluffton University, OH
Bossier Parish Community College, LA
Brescia University, KY
Bryan College, TN
Cabrini University, PA
Cairn University, PA
Calvary Bible College, MO
Cecil College, MD
Central Wyoming College, WY
Chattahoochee Technical College, GA
Chipola College, FL
Chowan University, NC
Clemson University, SC
Cleveland State Community College, TN
College of Charleston, SC
College of New Jersey, The, NJ
Collaine of The Mainland, TX

Columbia State Community College, TN

Corban University, OR
Covenant College, GA

Crowder College, MO
Del Mar College, TX
Denmark Technical College, SC
Dickinson State University, ND
Dillard University, LA
Donnelly College, KS
Dordt College, IA
Dyersburg State Community College, TN
Eastern Arizona College, AZ
Eastern Gateway Community College, OH
Eastern New Mexico University, NM
Eastern West Virginia Community and Technical Coll, WV
Eastern Wyoming College, WY
Emmaus Bible College, IA
Ensign College, UT
Faith Baptist Bible College \& Theological Seminary, IA
Faulkner University, AL
Fayetteville State University, NC
Fei Tian College, NY
Felician University - Lodi, NJ
Ferrum College, VA
Fisk University, TN
Florida College, FL
FOND DU LAC TRIBAL COMMUNITY COLLEGE, MN
Fort Hays State University, KS
Fort Scott Community College, KS
Fort Valley State University, GA
Gadsden State Community College, AL
Galveston College, TX
Georgetown College, KY
Georgia Southern University-Armstrong Campus, GA
Gordon State College, GA
Grambling State University, LA
Hillsdale College, MI
International College of Health Sciences, FL
J Sargeant Reynolds Community College, VA
Jackson State Community College, TN
Jacksonville College, TX
Jacksonville State University, AL
Jefferson College, MO
Jefferson Community and Technical College, KY
Judson College, AL
Keiser University, FL
Kentucky State University, KY
Keystone College, PA

La Salle University, PA
Lake Land College, IL
Lamar State College - Orange, TX
Lawson State Community College, AL
Leavell College, LA
Lee University, TN
Lincoln Memorial University, TN
Lincoln University (MO), MO
Lindenwood University, MO
Louisiana State University - Alexandria, LA
Loyola University New Orleans, LA
Lubbock Christian University, TX
Madisonville Community College, KY
Maranatha Baptist University, WI
Marietta College, OH
Marion Military Institute, AL
Mary Baldwin University, VA
Massachusetts Maritime Academy, MA
McDowell Technical Community College, NC
Meridian Community College, MS
Miami Regional University, FL
Mid Atlantic Christian University, NC
Mid-America Christian University, OK
Midwestern State University, TX
Milligan College, TN
Mineral Area College, MO
Mississippi College, MS
Mississippi Gulf Coast Community College, MS
Mississippi State University, MS
Missouri Baptist University, MO
Missouri Southern State University, MO
Missouri University of Science and Technology, MO
Missouri Western State University, MO
Moberly Area Community College, MO
Montana State University - Billings, MT
Montana Technological University, MT
Morris College, SC
Motlow State Community College, TN
Mott Community College, MI
Mount Marty College, SD
Mount Vernon Nazarene University, OH
Multnomah University, OR
Murray State College, OK
New Mexico Military Institute, NM
New Mexico State University Carlsbad, NM

Nicholls State University, LA
Norfolk State University, VA
North Dakota State College of Science, ND
North Greenville University, SC
Northeast Alabama Community College, AL
Northeast Mississippi Community College, MS
Northeast State Community College, TN
Northeastern Oklahoma A\&M College, OK
Northwest Missouri State University, MO
Northwest University, WA
Northwestern Oklahoma State University, OK
Nyack College, NY
Oglethorpe University, GA
Oklahoma Wesleyan University, OK
Pacific Union College, CA
Pellissippi State Community College, TN
Philander Smith College, AR
Point Loma Nazarene University, CA
Pontifical College, OH
Prairie View A\&M University, TX
Pratt Community College, KS
Presbyterian College, SC
Presentation College, SD
Reinhardt University, GA
Research College of Nursing, MO
Rio Salado College, AZ
Roane State Community College, TN
Rogers State University, OK
Sacred Heart Major Seminary, MI
Saint Xavier University, IL
Schreiner University, TX
Seminole State College, OK
Seminole State College of Florida, FL
Sentara College of Health Sciences, VA
Seward County Community College, KS
Shaw University, NC
Shorter College, AR
Shorter University, GA
Skagit Valley College, WA
South College-Main, TN
Southeast Missouri State University, MO
Southeastern Louisiana University, LA
Southeastern Oklahoma State University, OK
Southern Adventist University, TN
Southern University at Shreveport, LA

Southern Wesleyan University, SC
Southwest Baptist University, MO
Southwest Tennessee Community College, TN
Southwestern Christian College, TX
Southwestern College, KS
Southwestern Oklahoma State University, OK
Spalding University, KY
St. Johns River State College, FL
St. Mary's University, TX
St. Vincent's College, CT
Stillman College, AL
Sul Ross State University - Alpine, TX
Sullivan University, KY
Tarleton State University, TX
Taylor University, IN
Temple University, PA
Tennessee State University, TN
Texas A\&M University - Kingsville, TX
Texas Wesleyan University, TX
Thaddeus Stevens College of Technology, PA
The Crown College of the Bible, TN
Thomas More University, KY
Thomas University, GA
Tougaloo College, MS
Touro College, NY
Trevecca Nazarene University, TN
Trinity Valley Community College, TX
Union College (NE), NE
Union County College, NJ

Union University, TN
University of Alabama at Birmingham, AL
University of Arkansas - Pine Bluff, AR
University of Central Missouri, MO
University of Charleston, WV
University of Georgia, GA
University of Holy Cross, LA
University of Mary Hardin-Baylor, TX
University of Memphis, TN
University of Missouri - Kansas City, MO
University of Mount Olive, NC
University of Pikeville, KY
University of Saint Katherine, CA
University of Sciences in Philadelphia, PA
University of South Carolina - Aiken, SC
University of Southern Indiana, IN
University of Tampa, FL
University of Tennessee - Chattanooga, TN
University of Tennessee at Martin, TN
University of the Cumberlands, KY
University of West Alabama, AL
Vanguard University of Southern California, CA
Volunteer State Community College, TN
Walters State Community College, TN
Warner University, FL
Washburn University, KS
Welch College, TN
West Georgia Technical College, GA
Wiley College, TX
York College, NE

| Total Number of <br> Institutions | Total Number of <br> Students |
| :---: | :---: |
| 239 | 220,181 |

Only those institutions testing 30 or more students in a college class were included in the analyses for that college class.

# 2022 Comparative Data Guide <br> Distribution of Institutional Mean Total Scores <br> All Institution Types <br> All Students |Proctored Administration 

July 2017 through June 2022

| Number of <br> Institutions | Mean | Standard <br> Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 239 | 439.6 | 9.7 |


| Mean Total Score | No. of Institutions | Percent Below |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 470 to 500.00 | 1 | >99 |
| 469 to 469.99 | 0 | >99 |
| 468 to 468.99 | 0 | >99 |
| 467 to 467.99 | 0 | >99 |
| 466 to 466.99 | 0 | >99 |
| 465 to 465.99 | 1 | 99 |
| 464 to 464.99 | 0 | 99 |
| 463 to 463.99 | 0 | 99 |
| 462 to 462.99 | 0 | 99 |
| 461 to 461.99 | 1 | 99 |
| 460 to 460.99 | 0 | 99 |
| 459 to 459.99 | 2 | 98 |
| 458 to 458.99 | 1 | 97 |
| 457 to 457.99 | 0 | 97 |
| 456 to 456.99 | 2 | 97 |
| 455 to 455.99 | 3 | 95 |
| 454 to 454.99 | 2 | 95 |
| 453 to 453.99 | 5 | 92 |
| 452 to 452.99 | 9 | 89 |
| 451 to 451.99 | 1 | 88 |
| 450 to 450.99 | 4 | 87 |
| 449 to 449.99 | 8 | 83 |
| 448 to 448.99 | 6 | 81 |
| 447 to 447.99 | 5 | 79 |


| Mean Total Score | No. of Institutions | Percent Below |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 446 to 446.99 | 8 | 75 |
| 445 to 445.99 | 8 | 72 |
| 444 to 444.99 | 4 | 70 |
| 443 to 443.99 | 9 | 67 |
| 442 to 442.99 | 8 | 63 |
| 441 to 441.99 | 14 | 57 |
| 440 to 440.99 | 12 | 52 |
| 439 to 439.99 | 14 | 46 |
| 438 to 438.99 | 10 | 42 |
| 437 to 437.99 | 10 | 38 |
| 436 to 436.99 | 7 | 35 |
| 435 to 435.99 | 11 | 31 |
| 434 to 434.99 | 5 | 28 |
| 433 to 433.99 | 12 | 23 |
| 432 to 432.99 | 14 | 18 |
| 431 to 431.99 | 5 | 15 |
| 430 to 430.99 | 5 | 13 |
| 429 to 429.99 | 6 | 11 |
| 428 to 428.99 | 3 | 10 |
| 427 to 427.99 | 1 | 9 |
| 426 to 426.99 | 1 | 9 |
| 425 to 425.99 | 3 | 8 |
| 400 to 424.99 | 18 | 0 |

2022 Comparative Data Guide
Distribution of Institutional Mean Subscores
All Institution Types
All Students | Proctored Administration
July 2017 through June 2022

| Skill | Number of <br> Institutions | Mean | Standard <br> Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Thinking | 239 | 110.4 | 2.5 |
| Reading | 239 | 116.6 | 2.9 |
| Writing | 239 | 113.2 | 2.4 |
| Mathematics | 239 | 112.4 | 2.5 |
| Humanities | 239 | 113.5 | 2.4 |
| Social Sciences | 239 | 112.1 | 2.3 |
| Natural Sciences | 239 | 114.2 | 2.4 |

Critical Thinking

| Mean <br> Subscore | No. of <br> Institutions | Percent <br> Below |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 126 to 130 | 0 | 100 |
| 125 to 125.99 | 0 | 100 |
| 124 to 124.99 | 0 | 100 |
| 123 to 123.99 | 0 | 100 |
| 122 to 122.99 | 1 | $>99$ |
| 121 to 121.99 | 0 | $>99$ |
| 120 to 120.99 | 0 | $>99$ |
| 119 to 119.99 | 0 | $>99$ |
| 118 to 118.99 | 0 | $>99$ |
| 117 to 117.99 | 1 | 99 |
| 116 to 116.99 | 0 | 99 |
| 115 to 115.99 | 4 | 97 |
| 114 to 114.99 | 11 | 93 |
| 113 to 113.99 | 16 | 86 |
| 112 to 112.99 | 18 | 79 |
| 111 to 111.99 | 45 | 60 |
| 110 to 110.99 | 37 | 44 |
| 109 to 109.99 | 36 | 29 |
| 108 to 108.99 | 35 | 15 |
| 107 to 107.99 | 14 | 9 |
| 106 to 106.99 | 15 | 3 |
| 100 to 105.99 | 6 | 0 |

## Reading

| Mean Subscore | No. of <br> Institutions | Percent <br> Below |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 126 to 130 | 1 | $>99$ |
| 125 to 125.99 | 0 | $>99$ |
| 124 to 124.99 | 0 | $>99$ |
| 123 to 123.99 | 0 | $>99$ |
| 122 to 122.99 | 3 | 98 |
| 121 to 121.99 | 8 | 95 |
| 120 to 120.99 | 18 | 87 |
| 119 to 119.99 | 19 | 79 |
| 118 to 118.99 | 26 | 69 |
| 117 to 117.99 | 32 | 55 |
| 116 to 116.99 | 41 | 38 |
| 115 to 115.99 | 22 | 29 |
| 114 to 114.99 | 30 | 16 |
| 113 to 113.99 | 12 | 11 |
| 112 to 112.99 | 14 | 5 |
| 111 to 111.99 | 2 | 5 |
| 110 to 110.99 | 6 | 2 |
| 109 to 109.99 | 2 | 1 |
| 108 to 108.99 | 3 | 0 |
| 107 to 107.99 | 0 | 0 |
| 106 to 106.99 | 0 | 0 |
| 100 to 105.99 | 0 | 0 |

## Writing

| Mean <br> Subscore | No. of <br> Institutions | Percent <br> Below |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 126 to 130 | 0 | 100 |
| 125 to 125.99 | 0 | 100 |
| 124 to 124.99 | 0 | 100 |
| 123 to 123.99 | 0 | 100 |
| 122 to 122.99 | 1 | $>99$ |
| 121 to 121.99 | 0 | $>99$ |
| 120 to 120.99 | 0 | $>99$ |
| 119 to 119.99 | 0 | $>99$ |
| 118 to 118.99 | 1 | 99 |
| 117 to 117.99 | 4 | 97 |
| 116 to 116.99 | 18 | 90 |
| 115 to 115.99 | 30 | 77 |
| 114 to 114.99 | 33 | 64 |
| 113 to 113.99 | 51 | 42 |
| 112 to 112.99 | 34 | 28 |
| 111 to 111.99 | 33 | 14 |
| 110 to 110.99 | 14 | 8 |
| 109 to 109.99 | 9 | 5 |
| 108 to 108.99 | 2 | 4 |
| 107 to 107.99 | 6 | 1 |
| 106 to 106.99 | 2 | $<1$ |
| 100 to 105.99 | 1 | 0 |

## Humanities

| Mean Subscore | No. of <br> Institutions | Percent <br> Below |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 126 to 130 | 0 | 100 |
| 125 to 125.99 | 1 | $>99$ |
| 124 to 124.99 | 0 | $>99$ |
| 123 to 123.99 | 0 | $>99$ |
| 122 to 122.99 | 0 | $>99$ |
| 121 to 121.99 | 0 | $>99$ |
| 120 to 120.99 | 1 | 99 |
| 119 to 119.99 | 2 | 98 |
| 118 to 118.99 | 6 | 96 |
| 117 to 117.99 | 12 | 91 |
| 116 to 116.99 | 12 | 86 |
| 115 to 115.99 | 21 | 77 |
| 114 to 114.99 | 37 | 62 |
| 113 to 113.99 | 46 | 42 |
| 112 to 112.99 | 32 | 29 |
| 111 to 111.99 | 36 | 14 |
| 110 to 110.99 | 21 | 5 |
| 109 to 109.99 | 9 | 1 |
| 108 to 108.99 | 3 | 0 |
| 107 to 107.99 | 0 | 0 |
| 106 to 106.99 | 0 | 0 |
| 100 to 105.99 | 0 | 0 |

Mathematics

| Mean Subscore | No. of <br> Institutions | Percent <br> Below |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 126 to 130 | 0 | 100 |
| 125 to 125.99 | 0 | 100 |
| 124 to 124.99 | 0 | 100 |
| 123 to 123.99 | 1 | $>99$ |
| 122 to 122.99 | 1 | 99 |
| 121 to 121.99 | 0 | 99 |
| 120 to 120.99 | 1 | 99 |
| 119 to 119.99 | 3 | 97 |
| 118 to 118.99 | 2 | 97 |
| 117 to 117.99 | 2 | 96 |
| 116 to 116.99 | 5 | 94 |
| 115 to 115.99 | 14 | 88 |
| 114 to 114.99 | 23 | 78 |
| 113 to 113.99 | 28 | 67 |
| 112 to 112.99 | 59 | 42 |
| 111 to 111.99 | 43 | 24 |
| 110 to 110.99 | 27 | 13 |
| 109 to 109.99 | 10 | 8 |
| 108 to 108.99 | 11 | 4 |
| 107 to 107.99 | 5 | 2 |
| 106 to 106.99 | 4 | 0 |
| 100 to 105.99 | 0 | 0 |

## Social Sciences

| Mean Subscore | No. of <br> Institutions | Percent <br> Below |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 126 to 130 | 0 | 100 |
| 125 to 125.99 | 0 | 100 |
| 124 to 124.99 | 0 | 100 |
| 123 to 123.99 | 1 | $>99$ |
| 122 to 122.99 | 0 | $>99$ |
| 121 to 121.99 | 0 | $>99$ |
| 120 to 120.99 | 0 | $>99$ |
| 119 to 119.99 | 0 | $>99$ |
| 118 to 118.99 | 0 | $>99$ |
| 117 to 117.99 | 2 | 99 |
| 116 to 116.99 | 6 | 96 |
| 115 to 115.99 | 11 | 92 |
| 114 to 114.99 | 27 | 80 |
| 113 to 113.99 | 31 | 67 |
| 112 to 112.99 | 43 | 49 |
| 111 to 111.99 | 47 | 30 |
| 110 to 110.99 | 34 | 15 |
| 109 to 109.99 | 15 | 9 |
| 108 to 108.99 | 11 | 5 |
| 107 to 107.99 | 6 | 2 |
| 106 to 106.99 | 4 | $<1$ |
| 100 to 105.99 | 1 | 0 |

## Natural Sciences

| Mean <br> Subscore | No. of <br> Institutions | Percent <br> Below |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 126 to 130 | 0 | 100 |
| 125 to 125.99 | 0 | 100 |
| 124 to 124.99 | 0 | 100 |
| 123 to 123.99 | 1 | $>99$ |
| 122 to 122.99 | 0 | $>99$ |
| 121 to 121.99 | 0 | $>99$ |
| 120 to 120.99 | 1 | 99 |
| 119 to 119.99 | 1 | 99 |
| 118 to 118.99 | 7 | 96 |
| 117 to 117.99 | 19 | 88 |
| 116 to 116.99 | 24 | 78 |
| 115 to 115.99 | 37 | 62 |
| 114 to 114.99 | 43 | 44 |
| 113 to 113.99 | 37 | 29 |
| 112 to 112.99 | 31 | 16 |
| 111 to 111.99 | 18 | 8 |
| 110 to 110.99 | 8 | 5 |
| 109 to 109.99 | 6 | 3 |
| 108 to 108.99 | 5 | $<1$ |
| 107 to 107.99 | 1 | 0 |
| 106 to 106.99 | 0 | 0 |
| 100 to 105.99 | 0 | 0 |

# 2022 Comparative Data Guide <br> Distribution of Individual Students' Total Scores <br> All Institution Types <br> All Students | Proctored Administration <br> July 2017 through June 2022 

| Number of <br> Students | Mean | Standard <br> Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $181,932 *$ | 441.3 | 20.4 |


| Percentile | Scaled Score |
| :---: | :---: |
| 90 $^{\text {th }}$ | 470 |
| 75 $^{\text {th }}$ | 455 |
| $50^{\text {th }}$ | 440 |
| 25 $^{\text {th }}$ | 426 |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ | 415 |


| Scaled <br> Score | Percent <br> Below |
| :---: | :---: |
| 500 | $>99$ |
| 499 | $>99$ |
| 498 | $>99$ |
| 497 | $>99$ |
| 496 | $>99$ |
| 495 | $>99$ |
| 494 | $>99$ |
| 493 | $>99$ |
| 492 | 99 |
| 491 | 99 |
| 490 | 99 |
| 489 | 99 |
| 488 | 98 |
| 487 | 98 |
| 486 | 98 |
| 485 | 97 |
| 484 | 97 |
| 483 | 97 |
| 482 | 97 |
| 481 | 96 |
| 480 | 96 |
| 479 | 95 |
| 478 | 95 |
| 477 | 94 |
| 476 | 94 |
|  |  |


| Scaled <br> Score | Percent <br> Below |
| :---: | :---: |
| 475 | 93 |
| 474 | 93 |
| 473 | 92 |
| 472 | 91 |
| 471 | 91 |
| 470 | 90 |
| 469 | 89 |
| 468 | 89 |
| 467 | 88 |
| 466 | 86 |
| 465 | 86 |
| 464 | 85 |
| 463 | 84 |
| 462 | 83 |
| 461 | 82 |
| 460 | 81 |
| 459 | 80 |
| 458 | 78 |
| 457 | 77 |
| 456 | 76 |
| 455 | 75 |
| 454 | 73 |
| 453 | 71 |
| 452 | 70 |
| 451 | 68 |
|  |  |


| Scaled <br> Score | Percent <br> Below |
| :---: | :---: |
| 450 | 67 |
| 449 | 65 |
| 448 | 62 |
| 447 | 61 |
| 446 | 60 |
| 445 | 58 |
| 444 | 56 |
| 443 | 55 |
| 442 | 53 |
| 441 | 51 |
| 440 | 49 |
| 439 | 47 |
| 438 | 46 |
| 437 | 44 |
| 436 | 42 |
| 435 | 40 |
| 434 | 38 |
| 433 | 37 |
| 432 | 35 |
| 431 | 33 |
| 430 | 31 |
| 429 | 29 |
| 428 | 28 |
| 427 | 26 |
| 426 | 24 |
|  |  |
| 4 |  |


| Scaled <br> Score | Percent <br> Below |
| :---: | :---: |
| 425 | 23 |
| 424 | 21 |
| 423 | 19 |
| 422 | 19 |
| 421 | 16 |
| 420 | 15 |
| 419 | 15 |
| 418 | 12 |
| 417 | 11 |
| 416 | 10 |
| 415 | 9 |
| 414 | 8 |
| 413 | 7 |
| 412 | 6 |
| 411 | 5 |
| 410 | 4 |
| 409 | 4 |
| 408 | 3 |
| 407 | 3 |
| 406 | 2 |
| 405 | 2 |
| 404 | 1 |
| 403 | 1 |
| 402 | 1 |
| 401 | 1 |
| 400 | 0 |
|  |  |

*The score distribution used to compute these statistics has been modified, to prevent the statistics from being dominated by a few very large institutions. If an institution contributed more than 2800 students to this data set, the score of each of its students has been weighted by the fraction $2800 / \mathrm{n}$, where n is the number of students from that institution. For example, if an institution tested 5600 students, the score of each of its students would receive a weight of $2800 / 5600=1 / 2$. In computing the statistics, each of its students would count only half as much as a student from an institution that tested 2800 or fewer students. Therefore, an institution testing 5600 students would influence the statistics just as much as if it had tested only 2800 students.

## 2022 Comparative Data Guide Distribution of Individual Students' Subscores All Institution Types <br> All Students | Proctored Administration

|  | Critical Thinking | Reading | Writing | Mathematics | Humanities | Social Sciences | Natural Sciences |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of Students | 181,932* | 181,932* | 181,932* | 181,932* | 181,932* | 181,932* | 181,932* |
| Mean Score | 110.8 | 117.1 | 113.6 | 112.9 | 113.9 | 112.4 | 114.6 |
| Standard Deviation | 6.3 | 7.3 | 5.4 | 6.0 | 6.5 | 6.4 | 6.0 |
| Percentile | Critical Thinking | Reading | Writing | Mathematics | Humanities | Social Sciences | Natural Sciences |
| 90 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ | 120 | 126 | 121 | 122 | 123 | 122 | 123 |
| 75 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ | 115 | 123 | 118 | 116 | 119 | 118 | 120 |
| 50 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ | 110 | 118 | 113 | 113 | 113 | 111 | 116 |
| $25^{\text {th }}$ | 106 | 111 | 110 | 108 | 108 | 106 | 110 |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ | 103 | 107 | 106 | 106 | 107 | 105 | 107 |

Skills Subscores: Percent of Students Below Each Scaled Score

| Scaled Score | Critical Thinking | Reading | Writing | Mathematics |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 130 | >99 | 98 | >99 | >99 |
| 129 | >99 | 97 | >99 | >99 |
| 128 | >99 | 93 | >99 | 99 |
| 127 | 99 | 91 | >99 | 98 |
| 126 | 99 | 88 | >99 | 98 |
| 125 | 97 | 79 | >99 | 96 |
| 124 | 96 | 78 | 99 | 92 |
| 123 | 96 | 71 | 93 | 91 |
| 122 | 95 | 65 | 93 | 90 |
| 121 | 90 | 65 | 89 | 88 |
| 120 | 89 | 57 | 87 | 86 |
| 119 | 88 | 51 | 78 | 80 |
| 118 | 83 | 48 | 72 | 78 |
| 117 | 79 | 48 | 72 | 77 |
| 116 | 75 | 41 | 63 | 67 |
| 115 | 72 | 37 | 54 | 64 |
| 114 | 67 | 33 | 53 | 63 |
| 113 | 62 | 31 | 40 | 50 |
| 112 | 58 | 27 | 36 | 46 |
| 111 | 57 | 24 | 31 | 38 |
| 110 | 44 | 19 | 23 | 30 |
| 109 | 41 | 17 | 20 | 26 |
| 108 | 39 | 13 | 13 | 17 |
| 107 | 27 | 8 | 10 | 15 |
| 106 | 23 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| 105 | 18 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| 104 | 10 | 2 | 4 | 3 |
| 103 | 8 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| 102 | 5 | <1 | 1 | 1 |
| 101 | 2 | <1 | 1 | <1 |
| 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| Scaled Score | Humanities | Social Sciences | Natural Sciences |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 130 | >99 | >99 | 99 |
| 129 | >99 | >99 | 99 |
| 128 | 98 | >99 | 99 |
| 127 | 96 | 99 | 99 |
| 126 | 94 | 97 | 99 |
| 125 | 94 | 96 | 98 |
| 124 | 94 | 95 | 94 |
| 123 | 86 | 94 | 86 |
| 122 | 84 | 89 | 86 |
| 121 | 82 | 88 | 84 |
| 120 | 81 | 85 | 72 |
| 119 | 71 | 81 | 66 |
| 118 | 71 | 73 | 66 |
| 117 | 64 | 72 | 64 |
| 116 | 63 | 69 | 49 |
| 115 | 52 | 65 | 48 |
| 114 | 52 | 59 | 44 |
| 113 | 50 | 53 | 39 |
| 112 | 43 | 51 | 32 |
| 111 | 32 | 48 | 28 |
| 110 | 29 | 37 | 24 |
| 109 | 26 | 31 | 19 |
| 108 | 22 | 25 | 13 |
| 107 | 9 | 25 | 10 |
| 106 | 9 | 12 | 6 |
| 105 | 8 | 10 | 4 |
| 104 | 4 | 6 | 2 |
| 103 | <1 | 3 | 1 |
| 102 | <1 | 1 | 1 |
| 101 | <1 | <1 | <1 |
| 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

*The score distribution used to compute these statistics has been modified, to prevent the statistics from being dominated by a few very large institutions. If an institution contributed more than 2800 students to this data set, the score of each of its students has been weighted by the fraction $2800 / \mathrm{n}$, where n is the number of students from that institution. For example, if an institution tested 5600 students, the score of each of its students would receive a weight of $2800 / 5600=1 / 2$. In computing the statistics, each of its students would count only half as much as a student from an institution that tested 2800 or fewer students. Therefore, an institution testing 5600 students would influence the statistics just as much as if it had tested only 2800 students.

## 2022 Comparative Data Guide

Summary of Proficiency Classifications - All Students, All Institution Types, Proctored Administrations

July 2017 through June 2022

| Total Number of Students | Weighted Number of Students |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 2 0 , 1 8 1}$ | $181,932 *$ |

Percent of Students Classified

| Skill Dimension and Level | Classified as <br> Proficient | Classified as <br> Marginal | Classified as Non- <br> Proficient |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Thinking | $\mathbf{3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 9 \%}$ |
| Reading, Level 2 | $\mathbf{3 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 9 \%}$ |
| Reading, Level 1 | $\mathbf{6 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 \%}$ |
| Writing, Level 3 | $\mathbf{7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 2 \%}$ |
| Writing, Level 2 | $\mathbf{1 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 1 \%}$ |
| Writing, Level 1 | $\mathbf{5 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 \%}$ |
| Mathematics, Level 3 | $\mathbf{6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{8 0 \%}$ |
| Mathematics, Level 2 | $\mathbf{2 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 1 \%}$ |
| Mathematics, Level 1 | $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 \%}$ |

*The score distribution used to compute these statistics has been modified, to prevent the statistics from being dominated by a few very large institutions. If an institution contributed more than 2800 students to this data set, the score of each of its students has been weighted by the fraction $2800 / \mathrm{n}$, where n is the number of students from that institution. For example, if an institution tested 5600 students, the score of each of its students would receive a weight of $2800 / 5600=1 / 2$. In computing the statistics, each of its students would count only half as much as a student from an institution that tested 2800 or fewer students. Therefore, an institution testing 5600 students would influence the statistics just as much as if it had tested only 2800 students.

## 2022 Comparative Data Guide

Demographic Summary
All Institution Types
All Students | Proctored
July 2017 through June 2022

Percent in Demographic Category

| Age | Unweighted Data | Weighted Data* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under 20 | $36 \%$ | $36 \%$ |
| 20 to 29 | $53 \%$ | $54 \%$ |
| 30 to 39 | $7 \%$ | $6 \%$ |
| 40 to 49 | $3 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| 50 to 59 | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| 60 or more | $<1 \%$ | $<1 \%$ |


| Gender | Unweighted Data | Weighted Data* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | $44 \%$ | $42 \%$ |
| Female | $56 \%$ | $58 \%$ |


| Ethnicity | Unweighted Data | Weighted Data* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| African American | $16 \%$ | $17 \%$ |
| American Indian/Alaskan <br> Native | $\mathbf{1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 \%}$ |
| Asian/Asian <br> American/Pacific Is. | $\mathbf{4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 \%}$ |
| Black Hispanic | $\mathbf{1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 \%}$ |
| Hispanic | $7 \%$ | $7 \%$ |
| Latin American | $\mathbf{1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 \%}$ |
| White | $\mathbf{6 8 \%}$ | $\mathbf{6 6 \%}$ |
| Other | $\mathbf{3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 \%}$ |


| Best Language | Unweighted Data | Weighted Data* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| English | $\mathbf{8 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{8 2 \%}$ |
| Other Language | $\mathbf{1 3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 \%}$ |
| Both Equal | $\mathbf{5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 \%}$ |


| Enrollment Status | Unweighted Data | Weighted Data* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Full Time | $\mathbf{9 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{9 1 \%}$ |
| Part Time | $\mathbf{8 \%}$ | $\mathbf{9 \%}$ |


| Credit Hours Transferred | Unweighted Data | Weighted Data* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| None | $\mathbf{7 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 5 \%}$ |
| $\mathbf{0 - 1 5}$ Hours Transferred | $\mathbf{7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 \%}$ |
| $\mathbf{1 6 - 3 0}$ Hours Transferred | $\mathbf{7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{6 \%}$ |
| $\mathbf{> 3 0}$ Hours Transferred | $\mathbf{1 3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 \%}$ |


| Hours Worked for Wages | Unweighted Data | Weighted Data* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| None | $\mathbf{2 8 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 9 \%}$ |
| $\mathbf{1 - 1 5}$ Hours | $\mathbf{2 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 \%}$ |
| $16-30$ Hours | $26 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 7 \%}$ |
| $>30$ Hours | $\mathbf{2 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 \%}$ |


| Cumulative GPA | Unweighted Data | Weighted Data* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $3.50-4.00$ | $40 \%$ | $39 \%$ |
| $3.00-3.49$ | $35 \%$ | $35 \%$ |
| $2.50-2.99$ | $19 \%$ | $19 \%$ |
| $2.00-2.49$ | $6 \%$ | $6 \%$ |
| $1.00-1.99$ | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Less than 1.00 | $<1 \%$ | $<1 \%$ |

*The score distribution used to compute these statistics has been modified, to prevent the statistics from being dominated by a few very large institutions. If an institution contributed more than 2800 students to this data set, the score of each of its students has been weighted by the fraction $2800 / \mathrm{n}$, where n is the number of students from that institution. For example, if an institution tested 5600 students, the score of each of its students would receive a weight of $2800 / 5600=1 / 2$. In computing the statistics, each of its students would count only half as much as a student from an institution that tested 2800 or fewer students. Therefore, an institution testing 5600 students would influence the statistics just as much as if it had tested only 2800 students.

