

## **2022 E-Proficiency Profile Comparative Data Report for Unproctored Administrations**

The annual Comparative Data Guide (CDG) contains tables of scaled scores and percentiles for institutional means and individual student scores drawn directly from test takers across the nation. The CDG can assist you in interpreting the scores from the E-Proficiency Profile by helping you determine how your students' skills compare with the skills of students at similar institutions. The report provides descriptive statistics based on the number of students that have completed an unproctored version of the E-Proficiency Profile between July 1, 2017 and June 30, 2022. Information about an institution gathered through E-Proficiency Profile administrations cannot be released in any form attributable to or identifiable with an individual institution. The anonymity of each institution's performance is maintained by reporting only the aggregate performance of the selected reference group.

Below are descriptions of the various tables you can generate using this service:

- **Institutional Means Total Score/Subscore Distributions** - The distributions in these tables present the number of institutions at each mean score level. These tables provide a way to compare the Total Score and Subscore means for your institution with those of other participating institutions. These tables show the mean of means (or the average of the mean scores for those institutions/programs selected) as well as the standard deviations of those means.
- **Individual Students Total Score/Subscore Distributions** - The distributions in these tables may be used to interpret results by determining what percent of those taking the test at the selected institutions attained scores below that of a particular student. Each table shows scaled score intervals for Total Score and Subscores separately. By looking up the Total Score or Subscore and reading across the row to the corresponding number in the column headed "Percent Below," the percent of individuals scoring below any interval can be determined.
- **Summary of Proficiency Classifications** - This table presents the percentage of students classified as "Proficient", "Marginal", and "Not Proficient" for each skill dimension and level. This table provides a way to compare the proficiency levels at your institution with the selected test taker population. Descriptions of the competencies and abilities measured at each Proficiency Level can be found at <https://success.territorium.com/e-proficiency-profile-performance-levels>.

The following considerations should be kept in mind when interpreting comparative data:

- This data should be considered comparative rather than normative because the institutions included in the data do not represent proportionally the various types of higher education institutions and programs. The data are drawn entirely from institutions that choose to use the E-Proficiency Profile. Such a self-selected sample may not be representative of all institutions or programs.
- The number of students tested and sampling procedures vary from one institution to another. Therefore, it is impossible to verify that the students tested at each institution are representative of all the institution's students in that program.
- Only those institutions testing 30 or more students in a college class were included in the analyses for that college class. Institutions with fewer than 30 test takers at that class level are excluded from these calculations.
- In certain circumstances, the score distribution used to compute these statistics will be modified to prevent the statistics from being dominated by a few very large institutions. If an institution contributes a large number of students to a data set, the score of each of its students will be weighted. If weighting is applied to the report, a footnote explaining the weighting process will appear below the table. Weighting is only applied to reports based on individual student results.
- For more information about this report or other ways the E-Proficiency Profile can help your program, contact an ETS Advisor at <https://success.territorium.com/kb-tickets/new>

The following reports include tests taken as of June 30, 2022.

ETS is registered trademarks of Educational Testing Service (ETS).

**2022 Comparative Data Guide**  
**Institution List**  
**All Institution Types**  
**All Students | Unproctored Administrations**

*Data includes students from domestic institutions who tested between July 2017 through June 2022*

Albertus Magnus College, CT	Fayetteville State University, NC
American Public University, WV	Felician University - Lodi, NJ
American Sentinel University, CO	Fisk University, TN
Appalachian State University, NC	Florida Agricultural and Mechanical University, FL
Aquinas College (MI), MI	Florida State College at Jacksonville, FL
Asbury University, KY	Geneva College, PA
Ashland Community and Technical College, KY	God's Bible School and College, OH
Ashland University, OH	Grambling State University, LA
Bacone College, OK	Howard Payne University, TX
Baptist College of Florida, The, FL	Jackson State Community College, TN
Belhaven University (MS), MS	Jacksonville College, TX
Bethel University, TN	Jacksonville State University, AL
Big Sandy Community & Technical College, KY	Jefferson College, MO
Blue Mountain College, MS	Jefferson Community and Technical College, KY
Bowie State University, MD	Keiser University, FL
Brenau University, GA	Kentucky State University, KY
Brewton-Parker College, GA	La Salle University, PA
BridgeValley Community and Technical College, WV	Lander University, SC
Cabarrus College of Health Sciences, NC	Lee University, TN
Calhoun Community College, AL	Limestone College, SC
Calvary Bible College, MO	Lincoln Memorial University, TN
Campbell University, NC	Louisiana State University - Alexandria, LA
Central Arizona College, AZ	Luther Rice College & Seminary, GA
Central Baptist College, AR	Mary Baldwin University, VA
Central Virginia Community College, VA	Miami Regional University, FL
Chattahoochee Technical College, GA	Midland University, NE
Clayton State University, GA	Midwestern Baptist Theological Seminary, MO
Cleveland State Community College, TN	Mississippi State University, MS
College of the Ozarks, MO	Mississippi Valley State University, MS
Colorado State University- Global Campus, CO	Missouri State University, MO
Columbia State Community College, TN	Moberly Area Community College, MO
Columbus State University, GA	Montana State University - Billings, MT
Community College of the Air Force, AL	Motlow State Community College, TN
Covenant College, GA	Mount Vernon Nazarene University, OH
Crowder College, MO	National University, CA
Crowley's Ridge College, AR	New Mexico Junior College, NM
Dallas Baptist University, TX	New Mexico Military Institute, NM
DeVry University, IL	New River Community College, VA
Dyersburg State Community College, TN	North Dakota State College of Science, ND
ECPI University, NC	Northeastern Oklahoma A&M College, OK
Elim Bible Institute and College, NY	Parker University, TX
Ensign College, UT	Patrick Henry College, VA
Erskine College, SC	Pellissippi State Community College, TN
Everglades University, FL	Pfeiffer University, NC
Faith Baptist Bible College & Theological Seminary, IA	Philander Smith College, AR

Point Loma Nazarene University, CA  
 Point University, GA  
 Presentation College, SD  
 Providence Christian College, CA  
 Providence College, RI  
 Regent University, VA  
 Reinhardt University, GA  
 River Parishes Community College, LA  
 Roane State Community College, TN  
 Rocky Mountain College, MT  
 Saint Philips College, TX  
 Skagit Valley College, WA  
 Slippery Rock University of PA, PA  
 South College-Main, TN  
 Southeastern Oklahoma State University, OK  
 Southeastern University, FL  
 Southwest Baptist University, MO  
 Southwest Tennessee Community College, TN  
 Spelman College, GA  
 St. Johns River State College, FL  
 St. Petersburg College, FL  
 State Fair Community College, MO  
 Sterling College, KS  
 Sullivan University, KY  
 Surry Community College, NC  
 Texas A&M University - Central Texas, TX  
 Texas Southmost College, TX  
 Texas Tech University, TX

Texas Tech University Health Sciences Center, TX  
 Thomas Edison State University, NJ  
 Thomas University, GA  
 Touro College (CA), CA  
 Trinity Valley Community College, TX  
 Troy University - Troy, AL  
 University of Arizona Global Campus, AZ  
 University of Arkansas - Pine Bluff, AR  
 University of Colorado at Colorado Springs, CO  
 University of Georgia, GA  
 University of Mary, ND  
 University of Memphis, TN  
 University of North Texas - Denton, TX  
 University of Sciences in Philadelphia, PA  
 University of South Florida - Sarasota-Manatee, FL  
 University of Tampa, FL  
 University of Tennessee - Chattanooga, TN  
 University of the Cumberland, KY  
 Vanguard University of Southern California, CA  
 Volunteer State Community College, TN  
 Walden University, MN  
 Washburn University, KS  
 Wayland Baptist University, TX  
 West Kentucky Community and Technical College, KY  
 Western Oklahoma State College, OK  
 Western Wyoming Community College, WY  
 Wiley College, TX  
 Wilmington University, DE

Total Number of Institutions	Total Number of Students
146	141,715

Only those institutions testing 30 or more students in a college class were included in the analyses for that college class.

**2022 Comparative Data Guide**  
**Distribution of Institutional Mean Total Scores**  
**All Institution Types**  
**All Students | Unproctored Administrations**  
*July 2017 through June 2022*

<b>Number of Institutions</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>Standard Deviation</b>
<b>146</b>	<b>436.8</b>	<b>8.2</b>

<b>Mean Total Score</b>	<b>No. of Institutions</b>	<b>Percent Below</b>
470 to 500.00	0	100
469 to 469.99	1	99
468 to 468.99	0	99
467 to 467.99	0	99
466 to 466.99	0	99
465 to 465.99	0	99
464 to 464.99	0	99
463 to 463.99	0	99
462 to 462.99	0	99
461 to 461.99	1	99
460 to 460.99	0	99
459 to 459.99	0	99
458 to 458.99	0	99
457 to 457.99	0	99
456 to 456.99	0	99
455 to 455.99	0	99
454 to 454.99	2	97
453 to 453.99	0	97
452 to 452.99	2	96
451 to 451.99	3	94
450 to 450.99	0	94
449 to 449.99	1	93
448 to 448.99	0	93
447 to 447.99	3	91

<b>Mean Total Score</b>	<b>No. of Institutions</b>	<b>Percent Below</b>
446 to 446.99	2	90
445 to 445.99	4	87
444 to 444.99	6	83
443 to 443.99	3	81
442 to 442.99	10	74
441 to 441.99	2	73
440 to 440.99	6	68
439 to 439.99	7	64
438 to 438.99	9	58
437 to 437.99	7	53
436 to 436.99	7	48
435 to 435.99	11	40
434 to 434.99	8	35
433 to 433.99	7	30
432 to 432.99	4	27
431 to 431.99	4	25
430 to 430.99	6	21
429 to 429.99	7	16
428 to 428.99	2	14
427 to 427.99	4	12
426 to 426.99	3	10
425 to 425.99	4	7
400 to 424.99	10	0

**2022 Comparative Data Guide Distribution of Institutional  
Mean Subscores  
All Institution Types  
All Students | Unproctored Administrations  
July 2017 through June 2022**

Skill	Number of Institutions	Mean	Standard Deviation
<b>Critical Thinking</b>	<b>146</b>	<b>110.0</b>	<b>2.1</b>
<b>Reading</b>	<b>146</b>	<b>115.4</b>	<b>2.6</b>
<b>Writing</b>	<b>146</b>	<b>112.6</b>	<b>1.9</b>
<b>Mathematics</b>	<b>146</b>	<b>111.9</b>	<b>2.1</b>
<b>Humanities</b>	<b>146</b>	<b>114.0</b>	<b>2.1</b>
<b>Social Sciences</b>	<b>146</b>	<b>112.0</b>	<b>2.0</b>
<b>Natural Sciences</b>	<b>146</b>	<b>113.5</b>	<b>2.0</b>

**Critical Thinking**

Mean Subscore	No. of Institutions	Percent Below
126 to 130	0	100
125 to 125.99	0	100
124 to 124.99	0	100
123 to 123.99	0	100
122 to 122.99	0	100
121 to 121.99	0	100
120 to 120.99	0	100
119 to 119.99	0	100
118 to 118.99	1	99
117 to 117.99	0	99
116 to 116.99	0	99
115 to 115.99	1	99
114 to 114.99	3	97
113 to 113.99	4	94
112 to 112.99	14	84
111 to 111.99	19	71
110 to 110.99	27	53
109 to 109.99	32	31
108 to 108.99	23	15
107 to 107.99	12	7
106 to 106.99	9	1
100 to 105.99	1	0

**Reading**

Mean Subscore	No. of Institutions	Percent Below
126 to 130	0	100
125 to 125.99	0	100
124 to 124.99	1	99
123 to 123.99	0	99
122 to 122.99	0	99
121 to 121.99	2	98
120 to 120.99	1	97
119 to 119.99	6	93
118 to 118.99	9	87
117 to 117.99	20	73
116 to 116.99	22	58
115 to 115.99	22	43
114 to 114.99	22	28
113 to 113.99	18	16
112 to 112.99	6	12
111 to 111.99	10	5
110 to 110.99	4	2
109 to 109.99	3	0
108 to 108.99	0	0
107 to 107.99	0	0
106 to 106.99	0	0
100 to 105.99	0	0

## Writing

Mean Subscore	No. of Institutions	Percent Below
126 to 130	0	100
125 to 125.99	0	100
124 to 124.99	0	100
123 to 123.99	0	100
122 to 122.99	0	100
121 to 121.99	0	100
120 to 120.99	0	100
119 to 119.99	0	100
118 to 118.99	2	99
117 to 117.99	0	99
116 to 116.99	1	98
115 to 115.99	9	92
114 to 114.99	22	77
113 to 113.99	32	55
112 to 112.99	30	34
111 to 111.99	22	19
110 to 110.99	13	10
109 to 109.99	11	3
108 to 108.99	3	1
107 to 107.99	1	0
106 to 106.99	0	0
100 to 105.99	0	0

## Mathematics

Mean Subscore	No. of Institutions	Percent Below
126 to 130	0	100
125 to 125.99	0	100
124 to 124.99	0	100
123 to 123.99	0	100
122 to 122.99	0	100
121 to 121.99	0	100
120 to 120.99	0	100
119 to 119.99	0	100
118 to 118.99	2	99
117 to 117.99	3	97
116 to 116.99	2	95
115 to 115.99	3	93
114 to 114.99	12	85
113 to 113.99	18	73
112 to 112.99	19	60
111 to 111.99	42	31
110 to 110.99	20	17
109 to 109.99	14	8
108 to 108.99	7	3
107 to 107.99	4	0
106 to 106.99	0	0
100 to 105.99	0	0

## Humanities

Mean Subscore	No. of Institutions	Percent Below
126 to 130	0	100
125 to 125.99	0	100
124 to 124.99	0	100
123 to 123.99	0	100
122 to 122.99	1	99
121 to 121.99	0	99
120 to 120.99	0	99
119 to 119.99	0	99
118 to 118.99	3	97
117 to 117.99	7	92
116 to 116.99	9	86
115 to 115.99	24	70
114 to 114.99	33	47
113 to 113.99	26	29
112 to 112.99	22	14
111 to 111.99	11	7
110 to 110.99	6	3
109 to 109.99	3	1
108 to 108.99	1	0
107 to 107.99	0	0
106 to 106.99	0	0
100 to 105.99	0	0

## Social Sciences

Mean Subscore	No. of Institutions	Percent Below
126 to 130	0	100
125 to 125.99	0	100
124 to 124.99	0	100
123 to 123.99	0	100
122 to 122.99	0	100
121 to 121.99	0	100
120 to 120.99	1	99
119 to 119.99	0	99
118 to 118.99	0	99
117 to 117.99	0	99
116 to 116.99	2	98
115 to 115.99	7	93
114 to 114.99	12	85
113 to 113.99	22	70
112 to 112.99	28	51
111 to 111.99	32	29
110 to 110.99	19	16
109 to 109.99	12	8
108 to 108.99	8	2
107 to 107.99	3	0
106 to 106.99	0	0
100 to 105.99	0	0

## Natural Sciences

Mean Subscore	No. of Institutions	Percent Below
126 to 130	0	100
125 to 125.99	0	100
124 to 124.99	0	100
123 to 123.99	0	100
122 to 122.99	0	100
121 to 121.99	0	100
120 to 120.99	1	99
119 to 119.99	0	99
118 to 118.99	2	98
117 to 117.99	3	96
116 to 116.99	4	93
115 to 115.99	15	83
114 to 114.99	34	60
113 to 113.99	31	38
112 to 112.99	23	23
111 to 111.99	19	10
110 to 110.99	6	5
109 to 109.99	8	0
108 to 108.99	0	0
107 to 107.99	0	0
106 to 106.99	0	0
100 to 105.99	0	0

**2022 Comparative Data Guide Distribution of  
Individual Students' Total Scores  
All Institution Types | All Students  
Unproctored Administrations  
July 2017 through June 2022**

Number of Students	Mean	Standard Deviation
<b>117,949*</b>	<b>437.0</b>	<b>19.9</b>

Percentile	Scaled Score
<b>90<sup>th</sup></b>	<b>465</b>
<b>75<sup>th</sup></b>	<b>448</b>
<b>50<sup>th</sup></b>	<b>434</b>
<b>25<sup>th</sup></b>	<b>422</b>
<b>10<sup>th</sup></b>	<b>413</b>

Scaled Score	Percent Below
500	>99
499	>99
498	>99
497	>99
496	>99
495	>99
494	>99
493	99
492	99
491	99
490	99
489	99
488	98
487	98
486	98
485	98
484	98
483	97
482	97
481	97
480	96
479	96
478	96
477	96
476	95

Scaled Score	Percent Below
475	95
474	94
473	94
472	93
471	93
470	93
469	91
468	91
467	90
466	90
465	90
464	89
463	88
462	87
461	87
460	87
459	85
458	84
457	83
456	83
455	80
454	80
453	79
452	79
451	76

Scaled Score	Percent Below
450	75
449	75
448	74
447	71
446	71
445	70
444	66
443	65
442	65
441	62
440	60
439	60
438	58
437	54
436	52
435	52
434	48
433	46
432	46
431	40
430	40
429	38
428	36
427	32
426	32

Scaled Score	Percent Below
425	28
424	27
423	26
422	23
421	21
420	20
419	17
418	16
417	15
416	12
415	12
414	10
413	9
412	8
411	6
410	6
409	5
408	3
407	3
406	2
405	2
404	1
403	1
402	1
401	1
400	0

\*The score distribution used to compute these statistics has been modified, to prevent the statistics from being dominated by a few very large institutions. If an institution contributed more than 3000 students to this data set, the score of each of its students has been weighted by the fraction 3000/n, where n is the number of students from that institution. For example, if an institution tested 6000 students, the score of each of its students would receive a weight of 3000/6000 = 1/2. In computing the statistics, each of its students would count only half as much as a student from an institution that tested 3000 or fewer students. Therefore, an institution testing 6000 students would influence the statistics just as much as if it had tested only 3000 students.



**2022 Comparative Data Guide Distribution of Individual  
Students' Subscores  
All Institution Types | All Students  
Unproctored Administrations  
July 2017 through June 2022**

	Critical Thinking	Reading	Writing	Mathematics	Humanities	Social Sciences	Natural Sciences
<b>Number of Students</b>	<b>117,949*</b>	<b>117,949*</b>	<b>117,949*</b>	<b>117,949*</b>	<b>117,949*</b>	<b>117,949*</b>	<b>117,949*</b>
<b>Mean Score</b>	<b>110.0</b>	<b>115.6</b>	<b>112.7</b>	<b>111.9</b>	<b>114.2</b>	<b>112.1</b>	<b>113.6</b>
<b>Standard Deviation</b>	<b>6.3</b>	<b>7.6</b>	<b>5.4</b>	<b>5.9</b>	<b>6.6</b>	<b>6.4</b>	<b>6.3</b>
Percentile	Critical Thinking	Reading	Writing	Mathematics	Humanities	Social Sciences	Natural Sciences
<b>90<sup>th</sup></b>	<b>120</b>	<b>126</b>	<b>120</b>	<b>120</b>	<b>124</b>	<b>122</b>	<b>122</b>
<b>75<sup>th</sup></b>	<b>114</b>	<b>122</b>	<b>116</b>	<b>116</b>	<b>119</b>	<b>117</b>	<b>119</b>
<b>50<sup>th</sup></b>	<b>109</b>	<b>115</b>	<b>113</b>	<b>111</b>	<b>113</b>	<b>112</b>	<b>113</b>
<b>25<sup>th</sup></b>	<b>105</b>	<b>110</b>	<b>108</b>	<b>107</b>	<b>108</b>	<b>107</b>	<b>109</b>
<b>10<sup>th</sup></b>	<b>102</b>	<b>106</b>	<b>105</b>	<b>105</b>	<b>106</b>	<b>104</b>	<b>106</b>

**Skills Subscores: Percent of Students Below Each Scaled Score**

Scaled Score	Critical Thinking	Reading	Writing	Mathematics
130	>99	97	>99	>99
129	>99	97	>99	>99
128	>99	95	>99	>99
127	99	91	>99	98
126	98	88	>99	97
125	98	88	99	97
124	97	81	99	95
123	95	78	96	92
122	95	73	95	92
121	91	69	92	91
120	89	65	87	86
119	88	64	83	85
118	85	56	83	85
117	85	55	76	79
116	81	54	65	75
115	78	44	64	70
114	73	40	57	69
113	72	39	45	62
112	64	38	44	51
111	58	28	33	50
110	56	23	28	42
109	45	22	27	31
108	45	21	16	28
107	33	10	15	15
106	26	10	10	11
105	21	8	7	6
104	12	4	4	4
103	10	2	3	2
102	5	2	1	1
101	2	1	1	1
100	0	0	0	0

**Context-Based Subscores: Percent of Students Below Each Scaled Score**

<b>Scaled Score</b>	<b>Humanities</b>	<b>Social Sciences</b>	<b>Natural Sciences</b>
130	>99	>99	>99
129	99	>99	>99
128	97	>99	>99
127	97	99	>99
126	95	97	95
125	92	96	95
124	89	96	92
123	85	94	92
122	85	90	88
121	80	86	83
120	76	86	78
119	75	82	74
118	68	81	73
117	62	70	66
116	57	69	66
115	56	64	54
114	54	62	53
113	48	62	47
112	34	46	45
111	33	45	33
110	31	37	26
109	26	37	24
108	18	35	23
107	12	21	12
106	6	14	8
105	5	12	7
104	3	9	6
103	2	2	2
102	<1	1	2
101	<1	<1	2
100	0	0	0

\*The score distribution used to compute these statistics has been modified, to prevent the statistics from being dominated by a few very large institutions. If an institution contributed more than 3000 students to this data set, the score of each of its students has been weighted by the fraction  $3000/n$ , where  $n$  is the number of students from that institution. For example, if an institution tested 6000 students, the score of each of its students would receive a weight of  $3000/6000 = 1/2$ . In computing the statistics, each of its students would count only half as much as a student from an institution that tested 3000 or fewer students. Therefore, an institution testing 6000 students would influence the statistics just as much as if it had tested only 3000 students.

**2022 Comparative Data Guide**  
**Summary of Proficiency Classifications – All Students,**  
**All Institution Types**  
**Unproctored Administrations**  
*July 2017 through June 2022*

Total Number of Students	Weighted Number of Students
<b>141,715</b>	<b>117,949 *</b>

**Percent of Students Classified**

Skill Dimension and Level	Classified as Proficient	Classified as Marginal	Classified as Non-Proficient
<b>Critical Thinking</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>88%</b>
<b>Reading, Level 2</b>	<b>22%</b>	<b>15%</b>	<b>63%</b>
<b>Reading, Level 1</b>	<b>45%</b>	<b>20%</b>	<b>36%</b>
<b>Writing, Level 3</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>17%</b>	<b>79%</b>
<b>Writing, Level 2</b>	<b>13%</b>	<b>26%</b>	<b>61%</b>
<b>Writing, Level 1</b>	<b>43%</b>	<b>30%</b>	<b>27%</b>
<b>Mathematics, Level 3</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>11%</b>	<b>85%</b>
<b>Mathematics, Level 2</b>	<b>17%</b>	<b>22%</b>	<b>60%</b>
<b>Mathematics, Level 1</b>	<b>37%</b>	<b>24%</b>	<b>38%</b>

\*The score distribution used to compute these statistics has been modified, to prevent the statistics from being dominated by a few very large institutions. If an institution contributed more than 3000 students to this data set, the score of each of its students has been weighted by the fraction  $3000/n$ , where  $n$  is the number of students from that institution. For example, if an institution tested 6000 students, the score of each of its students would receive a weight of  $3000/6000 = 1/2$ . In computing the statistics, each of its students would count only half as much as a student from an institution that tested 3000 or fewer students. Therefore, an institution testing 6000 students would influence the statistics just as much as if it had tested only 3000 students.

**2022 Comparative Data Guide**  
**Demographic Summary**  
**All Institution Types**  
**All Students**  
**Unproctored Administrations**  
*July 2017 through June 2022*

**Percent in Demographic Category**

<b>Age</b>	<b>Unweighted Data</b>	<b>Weighted Data*</b>
<b>Under 20</b>	<b>18%</b>	<b>21%</b>
<b>20 to 29</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>53%</b>
<b>30 to 39</b>	<b>18%</b>	<b>15%</b>
<b>40 to 49</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>7%</b>
<b>50 to 59</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>3%</b>
<b>60 or more</b>	<b>&lt;1%</b>	<b>&lt;1%</b>

<b>Gender</b>	<b>Unweighted Data</b>	<b>Weighted Data*</b>
<b>Male</b>	<b>39%</b>	<b>39%</b>
<b>Female</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>61%</b>

<b>Ethnicity</b>	<b>Unweighted Data</b>	<b>Weighted Data*</b>
<b>African American</b>	<b>17%</b>	<b>18%</b>
<b>American Indian/Alaskan Native</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>1%</b>
<b>Asian/Asian American/Pacific Is.</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>4%</b>
<b>Black Hispanic</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>1%</b>
<b>Hispanic</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>8%</b>
<b>Latin American</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>2%</b>
<b>White</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>61%</b>
<b>Other</b>	<b>6%</b>	<b>5%</b>

<b>Best Language</b>	<b>Unweighted Data</b>	<b>Weighted Data*</b>
<b>English</b>	<b>80%</b>	<b>80%</b>
<b>Other Language</b>	<b>15%</b>	<b>15%</b>
<b>Both Equal</b>	<b>5%</b>	<b>5%</b>

<b>Enrollment Status</b>	<b>Unweighted Data</b>	<b>Weighted Data*</b>
<b>Full Time</b>	<b>79%</b>	<b>80%</b>
<b>Part Time</b>	<b>21%</b>	<b>20%</b>

<b>Credit Hours Transferred</b>	<b>Unweighted Data</b>	<b>Weighted Data*</b>
<b>None</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>61%</b>
<b>0-15 Hours Transferred</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>8%</b>
<b>16-30 Hours Transferred</b>	<b>9%</b>	<b>9%</b>
<b>&gt;30 Hours Transferred</b>	<b>25%</b>	<b>22%</b>

<b>Hours Worked for Wages</b>	<b>Unweighted Data</b>	<b>Weighted Data*</b>
<b>None</b>	<b>19%</b>	<b>21%</b>
<b>1-15 Hours</b>	<b>17%</b>	<b>19%</b>
<b>16-30 Hours</b>	<b>21%</b>	<b>22%</b>
<b>&gt;30 Hours</b>	<b>42%</b>	<b>38%</b>

<b>Cumulative GPA</b>	<b>Unweighted Data</b>	<b>Weighted Data*</b>
<b>3.50-4.00</b>	<b>43%</b>	<b>43%</b>
<b>3.00-3.49</b>	<b>35%</b>	<b>35%</b>
<b>2.50-2.99</b>	<b>16%</b>	<b>17%</b>
<b>2.00-2.49</b>	<b>5%</b>	<b>5%</b>
<b>1.00-1.99</b>	<b>&lt;1%</b>	<b>&lt;1%</b>
<b>Less than 1.00</b>	<b>&lt;1%</b>	<b>&lt;1%</b>

\*The score distribution used to compute these statistics has been modified, to prevent the statistics from being dominated by a few very large institutions. If an institution contributed more than 3000 students to this data set, the score of each of its students has been weighted by the fraction  $3000/n$ , where  $n$  is the number of students from that institution. For example, if an institution tested 6000 students, the score of each of its students would receive a weight of  $3000/6000 = 1/2$ . In computing the statistics, each of its students would count only half as much as a student from an institution that tested 3000 or fewer students. Therefore, an institution testing 6000 students would influence the statistics just as much as if it had tested only 3000 students.